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Abstract
Aim: Facial canal dehiscence (FCD) is the most important consideration in the cholesteatoma surgery. The tympanic portion is the most common localization of FCD in the majority of cases. MDCT is the standard imaging modality for temporal bone screening. In this study we aimed to compare coexisting the radiological and -surgical findings of patients who have been found during surgery to have FCD in surgery. Material and Method: We examined retrospectively 351 patients with cholesteatoma who have been operated on between April 2011 and April 2016. In terms of this study we compared the preoperative temporal bone MDCT and the surgical findings of 64 patients with FCD. Results: Positive surgical findings included external auditory canal (EAC) destruction (31.2%), FCD (100%), antrum geni- njelmesi (AG) (57.8%), kemiğcek erozyonu (96.8%), lateral semisirküler kanal (LSSK) defect (18.7%), superior semisirküler kanal (SSSK) defect (1.5%) and kohlacak defekti (4.6%) idi. Positif ÇKBT bulguları dış kulak yolu (DKY) hasarı (37.5%), FCD (93.7%), antrum genişlemesi (AG) (82.8%), kemikçik eroz- yonu (96.8%), lateral semisirküler kanal (LSSK) defekt (18.7%), superior semisirküler kanal (SSSK) defekt (6.2%) ve kohlacak defekt (4.6%) idi. Bu çalışmaya (ÇKBT’nin en hassas belirlediği) patolojiler FCD (93.7%), AG (82.8%), kemikçik erozyonu (96.8%) and scutum hasarı (68.7%) idi. Kemişik erozyonu, AG ve LSSK fistula cerrahi ve BT bulguları korelasyon analizinde pozitif iliş- kiler gösterdiler (p=0.001). Tartışma: ÇKBT ve klinik bulgular arasındaki korela- yonlar, kemişik erozyon ve AG’da pozitif tepsinin orani. Anahtar Kelimeler
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Introduction

Cholesteatoma is a keratin-producing stratified squamous epithelium accumulation in the middle ear or in the other pneumatized areas of temporal bone such as the mastoid and petrous apex [1]. Although it is rare in the middle ear, facial nerve paralysis can be seen in %20-64% of extensive cholesteatoma cases [2]. Facial nerve damage during ear surgery is considered among one of the most dangerous potential complications for the otologic surgeon.

Facial canal defect increases the risk of injury and may serve as a warning to surgeons of the underlying hazard. The prevalence of facial canal dehiscence (FCD) has been reported to be 25–57% in histological studies of the temporal bones of normal humans [3]. The surgical rates of dehiscence reach 0.5–11.4% in conditions other than chronic otitis surgery [4] and 33% in chronic otitis surgery [4-6]. Although dehiscences are most commonly detected in the tympanic segment and at the level of the facial nerve, they may also be seen at the level of geniculate ganglion and in the mastoid segment [7].

The iatrogenic facial nerve damage due to cholesteatoma-related bone erosion or anatomical variations may occur during the dissection of the cholesteatoma from the middle ear cavity, epitympanum, and mastoid cavity. An accurate preoperative evaluation of facial canal anatomy and the surrounding pathology is necessary in these cases. Understanding the ear anatomy with detailed radiographic information on the bony canal of the facial nerve and determining the extension and site of cholesteatoma can minimize the likelihood of facial nerve damage during the operation. High-resolution multi-detector computed tomography (MDCT) provides important information in this regard since it can determine the cholesteatoma sac and, assess the ossicles, the facial nerve, tegmen, scutum, and inner ear structures.

To our knowledge, there are few reports concerning the correlation of MDCT findings with surgical findings on the condition of the FCD of cholesteatoma [8-9]. In this study we aimed to assess the usefulness of a preoperative MDCT imaging in depicting the dehiscence of the facial canal and the status of middle ear structures in the presence of cholesteatoma and to compare the MDCT findings with the clinical intraoperative findings.

Material and Method

We examined retrospectively 351 patients with cholesteatoma who have been operated on in Ondokuz Mayis University Medical School Hospital, Ear, Nose, and Throat Clinic between April 2011 and April 2016. To avoid repetition of the same data from the ears that had been operated on more than once, only the data for one ear were included in the study. Based on the operation notes, 125 of the patients have been found with experienced facial canal dehiscence in the operation. In terms of facial canal dehiscence of these patients, 64 of these patients had preoperative temporal bone MDCT investigation.

Based on the patient files, the age, gender, preoperative CT, and intraoperative findings were determined. The data were examined in terms of the presence or absence of destruction of the external auditory canal, scutum, and tegmen, the localization of cholesteatoma, the presence or absence of facial canal dehiscence, the localization of the dehiscence, the presence of semicircular-circular canal fistulas; and, the conditions of the ossicle chain, Pprussak’s ssSpace, and mastoid air spaces.

MDCT imaging was performed with a 16-slice multi-detector row CT scanner (Aquilion 16 system, Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and 128-slice multi-detector row CT scanner (Discovery, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). The scanning parameters used were a collimation of 1 mm, mAs: 250, kV: 120, matrix: 512×512, algorithm: bony, and reconstruction thickness: 0.5 mm. DICOM files were retrieved from the archive system and transferred to the Osirix Workstation for review.

Statistical analyses were done with SPSS, version 21. The Shaprio-Wilk test was used to determine the normality of the distribution of the quantitative data. To compare two independent groups, Student’s t-test was used. Correlation analyses were performed with the Spearman’s rho test. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Sixty-four patients, including 43 males (67%) and 21 females (33%), were enrolled into the study. The mean age of the patients was 36.5 (range, 8-75) years. Of the 64 subjects, 9 (14%) were aged below 18 years and 55 (86%) were aged above 18 years at the time of the operation. The facial canal dehiscence was observed on the right side in 31 (48%) and on the left side in 33 (52%) patients.

Positive surgical findings included external auditory canal (EAC) destruction (31.2%), FCD (100%), aditus ad antrum widening (AW) (57.8%), ossicular erosion (96.8%), lateral semicircular canal (LSSC) defect (18.7%), superior semicircular canal (SSSC) defect (1.5%), and cochlear defect (4.6%). Temporal bone MDCT positive findings included EAC destruction (37.5%), FCD (93.7%), AW (82.8%), ossicular erosion (96.8%), LSSC defect (18.7%), superior semicircular canal (SSSC) defect (6.2%), and cochlear defect (4.6%). The maximal precision of MDCT imaging in this study was in defining FCD (93.7%), AW (82.8%), ossicular erosion (96.8%), and scutum destruction (68.7%). The accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values for different MDCT findings are shown demonstrated in Table 1.

Fig.1. A. Facial canal dehiscence in the mastoid segment (arrow) of left side on the coronal temporal bone MDCT image. B. Facial canal dehiscence in the tympanic segment (arrow) of the left side and soft tissue in the left tympanic cavity on the axial temporal bone MDCT image.

The facial canal dehiscence was observed on the right side in 31 (48%) and on the left side in 33 (52%) patients. The localization of the dehiscence was classified as being in the tympanic segment, in the mastoid segment, in the tympanic + mastoid segments, or in the first or second genu. According to surgery reports, the dehiscence was detected in the tympanic segment in 52 (80%) subjects, in the mastoid segment in 3 (4.6%) subjects, in the tympanic + mastoid segments in 4 (6.1%) subjects,
in the first genu in one (1.5%) subject, and in the second genu in 4 (6.1%) subjects. The CT findings of facial canal dehiscence-FCD was detected in the tympanic segment in 56 (93.3%) subjects, in the tympanic + mastoid segments in 4 (6.6%) subjects, and in the first genu in one (1.5%) subject. According to surgery reports, of the 64 subjects with FCD, 3 (4.6%) had isolated malleus defects, 10 (15.6%) had isolated incus defects, 4 (6.2%) had isolated stapes defects, 12 (18.7%) had incus + malleus defects, 12 (18.7%) had incus + stapes suprastructure defects, and 23 (35.9%) had defects in all ossicles according to surgery reports. The CT findings revealed isolated malleus defect in one (1.5%) subject, isolated incus defect in 7 (10.9%) subjects, isolated stapes defect in 6 (9.3%) subjects, incus + stapes suprastructure defect in 6 (9.3%), subject and all ossicle defects in 43 (67.1%) subjects. When isolated involvement is considered, the presence of incus defect was higher than the others in both CT and surgery findings.

Surgical and CT findings of ossicular chain erosions, AW, and LSCC fistula showed positive relations in correlation analyses (p=0.001). The presence of LSCC fistula related correlated with scutum defect on CT findings (p=0.002) (Table 2). While LSCC fistula and AW were correlated positively according to the surgical findings, they did not correlate in the CT findings. The CT findings of scutum defect and AW also did not correlate significantly.

The CT findings revealed soft tissue in the Prussak’s space, also known as pouch of the outer attic, in %92% of patients, while the surgical findings noted it only in %91% of patients. The CT findings revealed low-lying tegmen in 2 (3.1%) subjects, thinned tegmen in 18 (28.1%) subjects, tegmen tympani defect in 16 (25%) subjects, high jugular bulb (HJB) in 12 (18.7%), subjects and HJB defect in 2 (3.1%) subjects.

Discussion

Today MDCT is considered the standard imaging method for the temporal bone. However, its value in the preoperative examination of chronic otitis media and cholesteatoma patients remains unclear. MDCT imaging with screening in three planes, has the ability to display pathologies of the temporal bone in detail. The present study revealed demonstrated good re-colloration between MDCT findings of temporal bone and surgical findings in patients with facial canal dehiscence-FCD. The facial canal dehiscence-FCD may be developmental due to inadequate ossification of the bony canal or it may also arise from resorption caused by chronic otitis media, with or without cholesteatoma. Although there is the presence of a wide range of opinion in the literature concerning the incidence of dehiscence [10,11], most sources of them concur that the tympanic portion is the most frequent site in the localizations of dehiscence [12-14]. In concordance with these reports, our study also demonstrated the furthest most frequent occurrence of FCD in the tympanic segment. As mentioned documented in previous CT studies [8,10], the dehiscence of the bony wall of the tympanic portion and their position and extent can be noted on both axial-transverse and coronal CT images. The MDCT and surgical findings had good radi-surgical relationship in most of the predominance of our cases. Based on our study, we believe that combining use of analysis of multi-planar views analysis, which visualizes the subject from multiple angles to the wall, with advantage of showing from different angels to the wall improves the positive rate of diagnosis of dehiscence in the tympanic portion of the facial nerve canal in our study. However, in four cases we could not reach a diagnosis about the condition of the tympanic portion from CT imaging in 4 cases due to partial volume averaging with adjacent soft tissue. Thus there is no guarantee of diagnosis and surgeons should keep on continue to take additional care during surgical treatment. At this point, there are no radiological means to observe the dehiscence of the facial nerve canal with complete accuracy.

This study has demonstrated a good correlation between temporal bone MDCT scans with and surgical findings, particularly in ossicular chain erosions, AW, and LSCC fistula. Rogha et al. have also reported good radi-surgical correlation of AW in cholesteatoma patients (9). The current study also demonstrated the advantage of MDCT imaging in the detection of tympanic and mastoid cholesteatoma, ossicular chain erosion, scutum and EAC destruction, SSSC, and cochlear defect.

Bone erosion is an important pathological finding in otitis media; it can, which leads to hearing loss due to an impaired impairment of the sound transmission mechanism. Although the presence of cholesteatoma is not necessary for the only possible cause of the destruction of the ossicloossicle destruction, bone destruction is known to be more common in patients with cholesteatoma [15]. In the current CT study, we also found defects in the ossicle chain, at an incidence of 67.1%, similar with to previous studies [16]. Ossicular chain erosion demonstrated
occurred as an isolated defect most commonly at the incus in both surgical (15.6%) and CT (10.9%) findings. The low rate of incus defects on the CT images may be due to a partial volume effect. In this regard, the defect of incus defect may alert the surgeon about to the dehiscence of the facial nerve canal. Low detection rates of isolated malleus and malleus + incus involvement and high detection rates of all ossicles involvement on CT findings may be due to the small size of these bones.

Ozbek et al. [17] detected LSCC fistula in 21.1% of subjects with facial nerve dehiscence and Gulustan et al. [16] detected it in 27.8% LSCC fistula of subjects with facial nerve dehiscence. In our study, both the MDCT and surgical findings of our study revealed indicated a similar LSCC fistula range occurrence rate of 18.7% LSCC fistula in patients with facial nerve dehiscence. Presence of LSCC fistula in this study may alert the surgeon to that detection of one may indicate the existence of the other FCD, potentially leading to a decrease in iatrogenic complications.

Although there is no report in the literature of a correlation, in our study, 37.5% of subjects with facial nerve dehiscence also had destruction in the posterior wall of the EAC. We think that it is this high range of incidence that should be considered in terms of may indicate a correlation between the two associations of dehiscence. In our study, the coexistence of scutum defects and facial canal dehiscence was as high as in the previous study of Genc et al. [18]. This suggests that the presence of a scutum defect is a significant finding in their prediction of the extent of the disease and facial canal dehiscence. Beside a good anatomical knowledge, this indicates that the surgeons should, in addition to having good anatomical knowledge, pay more attention to avoiding facial nerve injury during the operation of operating on patients with a scutum defect.

Our study demonstrated HJB and defect in HJB and tegmen tympani. Tegmen tympani is the thin layer of bone that forms the roof of the tympanic cavity, separating it from the cranial cavity. It has an important protection function in protecting the brain from extending cholesteatoma. Its dehiscence and whether it is a low-lying type should be considered before surgery to avoid iatrogenic additional injuries. Thus, apart from facial nerve dehiscence, CT imaging has an important role in detecting not only facial nerve dehiscence but also of detecting cholesteatoma propagation that cannot be precisely evaluated exactly during surgery.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we compared the surgical and preoperative MDCT findings of patients who have been detected to have facial canal dehiscence during cholesteatoma surgery. Our study reveals that preoperative MDCT imaging can show the tympanic portion of the facial nerve canal accurately in the vast majority of cases, and there is high correlation of MDCT data with surgical findings in these cases. The combined analysis of multi-planar imaging improves the positive diagnosis rate of FCD, especially in the tympanic portion.

In our study, the incus was the most commonly destroyed ossicle. LSCC fistulas, scutum defects, and EAC defects were coincidental findings of FCD. The significant correspondence between MDCT and clinical findings may lead to better a diagnosis of probable likely problems before surgery, and it improves the success rate of cholesteatoma surgeries.
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